

HOMOLOGY STABILITY FOR UNITARY GROUPS

B. MIRZAI AND W. VAN DER KALLEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper homology stability for unitary groups over a ring with finite unitary stable rank is established. Homology stability of symplectic groups and orthogonal groups appears as a special case of our results.

Our motivation for this work has been to prove homology stability for unitary groups that is as strong as in the linear case [4]. For example we want homology stability of these groups over any commutative finite dimensional noetherian ring.

This work is the continuation of our previous work in this direction [3]. After that work, we found that our method, with a little modification, can also be applied in this more general setting. Thus our aim is now to prove that homology stabilizes of the unitary groups over rings with finite unitary stable rank. To do so, as in [3], we prove that the poset of isotropic unimodular sequences is highly connected. Homology stability for symplectic groups and orthogonal groups will appear as a special case.

Our approach is similar to [3], especially section 6. We first compare unimodular sequences of R^{2n} with a suitable hyperbolic basis of R^{2n} , and we will use that to prove that certain posets are highly connected. For this we need the higher connectivity of posets of unimodular sequences due to second author. The higher connectivity of the poset of isotropic unimodular sequences then follows inductively as in [3]. We conclude with the homology stability theorem.

1. POSETS OF UNIMODULAR SEQUENCES

Let R be an associative ring with unit. A vector $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in R^n$ is called unimodular if there exist $s_1, \dots, s_n \in R$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i r_i = 1$, or equivalently if the submodule generated by this vector is a free summand of the right R -module R^n . We denote the standard basis of R^n by $e_1, \dots, e_n$. If $n \leq m$, we assume that R^n is the submodule of R^m generated by $e_1, \dots, e_n \in R^m$. We denote the set of all unimodular elements of R^n by $\text{Um}(R^n)$.

We say that a ring R satisfies the *stable range condition* (S_m) , if $m \geq 1$ is an integer so that for every unimodular vector $(r_1, \dots, r_m, r_{m+1}) \in R^{m+1}$, there exist $t_1, \dots, t_m$ in R such that $(r_1 + t_1 r_{m+1}, \dots, r_m + t_m r_{m+1}) \in R^m$ is unimodular. We say that R has *stable rank* m , we denote it with $\text{sr}(R) = m$, if m is the least number such that (S_m) holds. If such a number does not exist we say that $\text{sr}(R) = \infty$.

We refer to [3] or [4] for notations such as $\mathcal{O}(V)$. In particular $\mathcal{U}(R^n)$ denotes the subposet of $\mathcal{O}(R^n)$ consisting of unimodular sequences. Recall that a sequence of vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k$ in R^n is called unimodular when $v_1, \dots, v_k$ is basis of a free direct summand of R^n . Note that if $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{O}(R^n)$ and if $n \leq m$, it is the same to say that $(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ is unimodular as a sequence of vectors in R^n or as a sequence of vectors in R^m . We call an element $(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ of $\mathcal{U}(R^n)$ a k -frame.

Theorem 1.1 (Van der Kallen). *Let R be a ring with $\text{sr}(R) < \infty$ and $n \leq m$. Let δ be 0 or 1. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{O}(R^n + e_{n+1}\delta) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^m)$ is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - 1)$ -connected.
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}(R^n + e_{n+1}\delta) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^m)_v$ is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - |v| - 1)$ -connected for all $v \in \mathcal{U}(R^m)$.

Proof. See [4, Thm. 2.6]. □

2. HYPERBOLIC SPACES AND SOME POSETS

Let there be an involution on R , that is an automorphism of the additive group of R , $R \rightarrow R$ with $r \mapsto \bar{r}$, such that $\bar{\bar{r}} = r$ and $\overline{\bar{r}s} = \bar{s} \bar{r}$. Let ϵ be an element in the center of R such that $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} = 1$. Set $R_\epsilon = \{r - \epsilon \bar{r} : r \in R\}$ and $R^\epsilon = \{r \in R : \epsilon \bar{r} = -r\}$ and observe that $R_\epsilon \subseteq R^\epsilon$. A *form parameter* relative to the involution and ϵ is a subgroup Λ of $(R, +)$ such that $R_\epsilon \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq R^\epsilon$ and $\bar{r}\Lambda r \subseteq \Lambda$, for all $r \in R$. Notice that R_ϵ and R^ϵ are form parameters. We denote them by $\Lambda_{\min}$ and $\Lambda_{\max}$, respectively. If there is an s in the center of R such that $s + \bar{s} \in R^*$, in particular if $2 \in R^*$, then $\Lambda_{\min} = \Lambda_{\max}$.

Let $e_{i,j}(r)$ be the $2n \times 2n$ -matrix with $r \in R$ in the (i, j) place and zero elsewhere. Consider $Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{2i-1, 2i}(1) \in M_{2n}(R)$ and $F_n = Q_n + \epsilon {}^t Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_{2i-1, 2i}(1) + e_{2i, 2i-1}(\epsilon)) \in GL_{2n}(R)$. Define the bilinear map $h : R^{2n} \times R^{2n} \rightarrow R$ by $h(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{x_{2i-1}} y_{2i} + \epsilon \overline{x_{2i}} y_{2i-1})$ and $q : R^{2n} \rightarrow R/\Lambda$ by $q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{x_{2i-1}} x_{2i} \bmod \Lambda$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{2n})$ and $\bar{x} = (\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_{2n}})$. The triple (R^{2n}, h, q) is called a *hyperbolic space*. By definition the *unitary group* relative Λ is the group

$$U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda) := \{A \in GL_{2n}(R) : h(Ax, Ay) = h(x, y), q(Ax) = q(x), x, y \in R\}.$$

For more general definitions and the properties of these spaces and groups see [2].

Example 2.1. (i) Let $\epsilon = -1$ and let the involution be the identity map id_R , then $\Lambda_{\max} = R$. If $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\max} = R$ then $U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda) =: Sp_{2n}(R)$ is the usual symplectic group. Note that R is commutative in this case.

(ii) Let $\epsilon = 1$ and let the involution be the identity map id_R , then $\Lambda_{\min} = 0$. If $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\min} = 0$ then $U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda) =: O_{2n}(R)$ is the usual orthogonal group. As in the symplectic case, R is necessarily commutative.

(iii) Let $\epsilon = -1$ and the involution is not the identity map id_R . If $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\max}$ then $U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda) =: U_{2n}(R)$ is the classical unitary group corresponding to the involution.

- (iv) If $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\max} = R$ then $U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda) = \{A \in GL_{2n}(R) : h(Ax, Ay) = h(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R\} = \{A \in GL_{2n}(R) : {}^t \bar{A} F_n A = F_n\}$.
- (v) If $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\min} = 0$ then $U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda) = \{A \in GL_{2n}(R) : q(Ax) = q(x) \text{ for all } x \in R\} = \{A \in GL_{2n}(R) : {}^t \bar{A} Q_n A = Q_n\}$.

Let σ be the permutation of the set of natural numbers given by $\sigma(2i) = 2i - 1$ and $\sigma(2i - 1) = 2i$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n$, $i \neq j$, and every $r \in R$ define

$$E_{i,j}(r) = \begin{cases} I_{2n} + e_{i,j}(r) & \text{if } i = 2k - 1, j = \sigma(i), r \in \Lambda \\ I_{2n} + e_{i,j}(r) & \text{if } i = 2k, j = \sigma(i), \bar{r} \in \Lambda \\ I_{2n} + e_{i,j}(r) + e_{\sigma(j), \sigma(i)}(-\bar{r}) & \text{if } i + j = 2k, i \neq j \\ I_{2n} + e_{i,j}(r) + e_{\sigma(j), \sigma(i)}(-\epsilon^{-1} \bar{r}) & \text{if } i \neq \sigma(j), i = 2k - 1, j = 2l \\ I_{2n} + e_{i,j}(r) + e_{\sigma(j), \sigma(i)}(\epsilon \bar{r}) & \text{if } i \neq \sigma(j), i = 2k, j = 2l - 1 \end{cases}$$

where I_{2n} is the identity element of $GL_{2n}(R)$. It is easy to see that $E_{i,j}(r) \in U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$. Let $EU_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$ be the group generated by the $E_{i,j}(r)$, $r \in R$. We call it *elementary unitary group*.

A nonzero vector $x \in R^{2n}$ is called isotropic if $q(x) = 0$. This shows automatically that if x is isotropic then $h(x, x) = 0$. We say that a subset S of R^{2n} is isotropic if for every $x \in S$, $q(x) = 0$ and for every $x, y \in S$, $h(x, y) = 0$. If $h(x, y) = 0$, then we say that x is perpendicular to y . We denote by $\langle S \rangle$ the submodule of R^{2n} generated by S , and by $\langle S \rangle^\perp$ the submodule consisting of all the elements of R^{2n} which are perpendicular to all the elements of S .

From now, we fix an involution, an ϵ , a form parameter Λ and we consider the triple (R^{2n}, h, q) as defined above.

Definition 2.2 (Transitivity condition). Let $r \in R$ and define $C_r^\epsilon(R^{2n}, \Lambda) = \{x \in \text{Um}(R^{2n}) : q(x) = r \text{ mod } \Lambda\}$. We say that R satisfies the transitivity condition (T_n) , if $EU_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$ acts transitively on $C_r^\epsilon(R^{2n}, \Lambda)$, for every $r \in R$. It is easy to see that $e_1 + re_2 \in C_r^\epsilon(R^{2n}, \Lambda)$.

Definition 2.3 (Unitary stable range). We say that a ring R satisfies the unitary stable range condition if R satisfies the conditions (S_m) and (T_{m+1}) . We say that R has unitary stable rank m , we denote it with $\text{usr}(R)$, if m is the least number such that (S_m) and (T_{m+1}) are satisfied. If such a number does not exist we say that $\text{usr}(R) = \infty$. Clearly $\text{sr}(R) \leq \text{usr}(R)$.

Remark 2.4. Our definition of unitary stable range is a little different than the one in [2, Chap. VI 4.6]. In fact $\text{usr}(R) + 1 = m + 1 = \text{USR}_m$ where USR_m is the unitary stable rank as defined in [2].

Example 2.5. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring where the dimension d of the maximal spectrum $\text{Mspec}(R)$ is finite. If A is a finite R -algebra then $\text{usr}(A) \leq d + 2$ (see [5, Thm 2.8], [2, Thm. 6.1.4]).

Lemma 2.6. *Let R be a ring with $\text{usr}(R) < \infty$. Assume $n \geq \text{usr}(R) + k + 1$ and $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{U}(R^{2n})$. Then there is a hyperbolic basis $\{x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n\}$ of R^{2n} such that $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k \rangle$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . If $k = 1$, by definition of unitary stable range there is an $E \in EU_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$ such that $Ev_1 = e_1 + re_2$. So the base of induction is true. Let $k \geq 2$ and assume the induction hypothesis. Arguing as in the base of the induction we can assume that $v_1 = (1, r, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let $W = e_2 + \sum_{i=2}^n e_{2i}R$. By theorem 1.1, the poset $F := \mathcal{O}(W) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^{2n})_{(v_1, \dots, v_k)}$ is $((n-1) - \text{sr}(R) - k - 1)$ -connected. Since $n \geq \text{usr}(R) + k + 1 \geq \text{sr}(R) + k + 1$, it follows that F is not empty. Choose $(w, v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{U}(R^{2n})$ where $w \in W$. Then $(w, v_1 - wr, v_2, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{U}(R^{2n})$. But $(w, v_1 - rw)$ is a hyperbolic pair, so there is an $E \in EU_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$ such that $Ew = e_{2n-1}$, $E(v_1 - wr) = e_{2n}$ by [2, Chap. VI, Thm. 4.7.1]. Let $(Ew, E(v_1 - wr), Ev_2, \dots, Ev_k) =: (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k)$ where $w_i = (r_{i,1}, \dots, r_{i,2n})$. Put $u_i = w_i - e_{2n-1}r_{i,2n-1} - e_{2n}r_{i,2n}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Then $(u_2, \dots, u_k) \in \mathcal{U}(R^{2n-2})$. Now by induction there is a hyperbolic basis $\{a_2, b_2, \dots, a_n, b_n\}$ of R^{2n-2} such that $u_i \in \langle a_2, b_2, \dots, a_k, b_k \rangle$. Let $a_1 = e_{2n-1}$ and $b_1 = e_{2n}$. Then $w_i \in \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k \rangle$. But $Ev_1 = w_1 + Ewr = e_{2n} + e_{2n-1}r$, $Ev_i = w_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ and considering $x_i = E^{-1}a_i$, $y_i = E^{-1}b_i$, one sees that $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k \rangle$. $\square$

Let $Z_n = \{x \in R^{2n} : q(x) = 0\}$ and put $\mathcal{U}'(R^{2n}) = \mathcal{O}(Z_n) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^{2n})$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let R be a ring with $\text{sr}(R) < \infty$ and $n \leq m$. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{O}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2m})$ is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - 1)$ -connected,
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2m})_v$ is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - |v| - 1)$ -connected for every $v \in \mathcal{U}'(R^{2m})$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{O}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2m}) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^{2m})_v$ is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - |v| - 1)$ -connected for every $v \in \mathcal{U}(R^{2m})$.

Proof. Let $W = \langle e_2, e_4, \dots, e_{2n} \rangle$ and $F := \mathcal{O}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2m})$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F = \mathcal{O}(W) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^{2m})$ and $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F_u = \mathcal{O}(W) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^{2m})_u$ for every $u \in \mathcal{U}'(R^{2m})$. By theorem 1.1, the poset $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F$ is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - 1)$ -connected and the poset $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F_u$ is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - |u| - 1)$ -connected for every $u \in F$. It follows from lemma [4, 2.13 (i)] that F is $(n - \text{sr}(R) - 1)$ -connected. The proof of (ii) and (iii) is similar to the proof of (i). $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *Let R be a ring with $\text{usr}(R) < \infty$ and let $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})$. If $n \geq \text{usr}(R) + k + 1$ then $\mathcal{O}(\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^\perp) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})_{(v_1, \dots, v_k)}$ is $(n - \text{usr}(R) - k - 1)$ -connected.*

Proof. By lemma 2.6 there is a hyperbolic basis $\{x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n\}$ of R^{2n} such that $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k \rangle$. Let $W = \langle x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, x_n, y_n \rangle \simeq R^{2(n-k)}$ and $F := \mathcal{O}(\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^\perp) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})_{(v_1, \dots, v_k)}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F = \mathcal{O}(W) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})$. Let $V = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$, then $\langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k \rangle = V \oplus P$ where P is a (finitely generated) projective module. Consider $(u_1, \dots, u_l) \in F \setminus \mathcal{O}(W)$ and let

$u_i = x_i + y_i$ where $x_i \in V$ and $y_i \in P \oplus W$. One should notice that $(u_1 - x_1, \dots, u_l - x_l) \in \mathcal{U}(R^{2n})$ and not necessarily in $\mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F_{(u_1, \dots, u_l)} = \mathcal{O}(W) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{U}(R^{2n})_{(u_1 - x_1, \dots, u_l - x_l)}$. By lemma 2.7, $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F$ is $(n - k - \text{usr}(R) - 1)$ -connected and $\mathcal{O}(W) \cap F_u$ is $(n - k - \text{usr}(R) - |u| - 1)$ -connected for every $u \in F \setminus \mathcal{O}(W)$. It follows from lemma [4, 2.13 (i)] that F is $(n - \text{usr}(R) - k - 1)$ -connected. $\square$

3. POSETS OF ISOTROPIC AND HYPERBOLIC UNIMODULAR SEQUENCES

Let $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$ be the set of sequences $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $x_i \in R^{2n}$, such that $x_1, \dots, x_k$ form a basis for an isotropic direct summand of R^{2n} . Let $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$ be the set of sequences $((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k))$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_k), (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$, $h(x_i, y_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta. We call $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$ and $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$ the poset of isotropic unimodular sequences and the poset of hyperbolic unimodular sequences, respectively. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n}, k)$ and $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n}, k)$ be the set of all elements of length k of $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$ and $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$ respectively. We call the elements of $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n}, k)$ and $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n}, k)$ the isotropic k -frames and the hyperbolic k -frames, respectively. Define the poset $\mathcal{MU}(R^{2n})$ as the set of $((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)) \in \mathcal{O}(R^{2n} \times R^{2n})$ such that, (i) $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$, (ii) for each i , either $y_i = 0$ or $(x_j, y_i) = \delta_{ji}$, (iii) $\langle y_1, \dots, y_k \rangle$ is isotropic. We identify $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$ with $\mathcal{MU}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{O}(R^{2n} \times \{0\})$ and $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$ with $\mathcal{MU}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{O}(R^{2n} \times (R^{2n} \setminus \{0\}))$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a ring with $\text{usr}(R) < \infty$. If $n \geq \text{usr}(R) + k$ then $EU_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n}, k)$ and $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n}, k)$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . If $k = 1$, by definition $EU_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n}, 1)$ and by [2, Chap. VI, Thm. 4.7.1] the group $EU_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n}, 1)$. The rest is an easy induction and the fact that for every isotropic k -frame $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ there is an isotropic k -frame $(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ such that $((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k))$ is a hyperbolic k -frame [2, Chap. I, Cor. 3.7.4]. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a ring with $\text{usr}(R) < \infty$, and let $n \geq \text{usr}(R) + k$. Let $((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)) \in \mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$, $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$ and $V = \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})_{(x_1, \dots, x_k)} \simeq \mathcal{IU}(R^{2(n-k)})(V)$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{MU}(R^{2n})_{((x_1, 0), \dots, (x_k, 0))} \simeq \mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})_{((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k))}(V \times V)$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})_{((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k))} \simeq \mathcal{HU}(R^{2(n-k)})$.

Proof. See [1], the proof of lemma 3.4 and the proof of Thm. 3.2. $\square$

For a real number l , by $\lfloor l \rfloor$ we mean the largest integer n with $n \leq l$.

Theorem 3.3. *The poset $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$ is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected and $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})_x$ is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |x| - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected for every $x \in \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$.*

Proof. If $n \leq \text{usr}(R) + 1$, the result is clear, so let $n > \text{usr}(R) + 1$. Let $X_v = \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})_v \cap \mathcal{O}(\langle v \rangle^\perp)$, for every $v \in \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})$, and put $X := \bigcup_{v \in F} X_v$ where $F = \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})$. It follows from lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})_{\leq n - \text{usr}(R) - 1} \subseteq X$. So to treat $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$, it is enough to prove that X is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected. First we prove that X_v is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |v| - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected for every $v \in F$. The proof is by descending induction on $|v|$. If $|v| > n - \text{usr}(R) - 1$, then $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |v| - 3}{2} \rfloor < -1$. In this case there is nothing to prove. If $n - \text{usr}(R) - 2 \leq |v| \leq n - \text{usr}(R) - 1$, then $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |v| - 3}{2} \rfloor = -1$, so we must prove that X_v is nonempty. This follows from lemma 2.6. Now assume $|v| \leq n - \text{usr}(R) - 3$ and assume by induction that X_w is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |w| - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected for every w , with $|w| > |v|$. Let $l = \lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |v| - 3}{2} \rfloor$, and observe that $n - |v| - \text{usr}(R) - 1 \geq l + 2$. Put $T_w = \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})_{wv} \cap \mathcal{O}(\langle wv \rangle^\perp)$ where $w \in G_v = \mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})_v \cap \mathcal{O}(\langle v \rangle^\perp)$ and put $T := \bigcup_{w \in G_v} T_w$. It follows by lemma 2.6 that $(X_v)_{\leq n - |v| - \text{usr}(R) - 1} \subseteq T$. So it is enough to prove that T is l -connected. The poset G_v is l -connected by lemma 2.8. By induction, T_w is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |v| - |w| - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected. But $\min\{l - 1, l - |w| + 1\} \leq \lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |v| - |w| - 3}{2} \rfloor$, so T_w is $\min\{l - 1, l - |w| + 1\}$ -connected. For every $y \in T$, $\mathcal{A}_y = \{w \in G_v : y \in T_w\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}'(R^{2n})_{vy} \cap \mathcal{O}(\langle vy \rangle^\perp)$ so by lemma 2.8, it is $(l - |y| + 1)$ -connected. Let $w \in G_v$ with $|w| = 1$. For every $z \in T_w$ we have $wz \in X_v$, so T_w is contained in a cone, call it C_w , inside X_v . Put $C(T_w) = T_w \cup (C_w)_{\leq n - |v| - \text{usr}(R) - 1}$. Thus $C(T_w) \subseteq T$. The poset $C(T_w)$ is l -connected because $C(T_w)_{\leq n - |v| - \text{usr}(R) - 1} = (C_w)_{\leq n - |v| - \text{usr}(R) - 1}$. Now by theorems 1.1 and [3, 4.7], T is l -connected. In other words, we have now shown that X_v is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |v| - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected. By knowing this one can prove, in a similar way, that X is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected. (Just pretend that $|v| = 0$.)

Now consider the poset $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})_x$ for an $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$. The proof is by induction on n . If $n = 1$, everything is easy. Similarly, we may assume $n - \text{usr}(R) - |x| - 1 \geq 0$. Let $l = \lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |x| - 3}{2} \rfloor$. By lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})_x \simeq \mathcal{IU}(R^{2(n - |x|)}) \langle V \rangle$, where $V = \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$. In the above we proved that $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2(n - |x|)})$ is l -connected and by induction, the poset $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2(n - |x|)})_y$ is $\lfloor \frac{n - |x| - \text{usr}(R) - |y| - 3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected for every $y \in \mathcal{IU}(R^{2(n - |x|)})$. But $l - |y| \leq \lfloor \frac{n - |x| - \text{usr}(R) - |y| - 3}{2} \rfloor$. So $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2(n - |x|)}) \langle V \rangle$ is l -connected by lemma [3, 4.1]. Therefore $\mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})_x$ is l -connected. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *The poset $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$ is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - 4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected and $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})_x$ is $\lfloor \frac{n - \text{usr}(R) - |x| - 4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected for every $x \in \mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . If $n = 1$, then everything is trivial. Let $F = \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})$ and $X_v = \mathcal{HU}(R^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{MU}(R^{2n})_v$, for every $v \in F$. Put $X := \bigcup_{v \in F} X_v$. It follows from lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})_{\leq n - \text{usr}(R) - 1} \subseteq X$.

Thus to treat $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$, it is enough to prove that X is $\lfloor \frac{n-\text{usr}(R)-4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected, and we may assume $n \geq \text{usr}(R) + 2$. Take $l = \lfloor \frac{n-\text{usr}(R)-4}{2} \rfloor$ and $V = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$, where $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$. By lemma 3.2, there is an isomorphism $X_v \simeq \mathcal{HU}(R^{2(n-|v|)}) \langle V \times V \rangle$, if $n \geq \text{sr}(R) + |v|$. By induction $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2(n-|v|)})$ is $\lfloor \frac{n-|v|-\text{usr}(R)-4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected and again by induction $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2(n-|v|)})_y$ is $\lfloor \frac{n-|v|-\text{usr}(R)-|y|-4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected for every $y \in \mathcal{HU}(R^{2(n-|v|)})$. So by lemma [3, 4.1], X_v is $\lfloor \frac{n-|v|-\text{usr}(R)-4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected. Thus the poset X_v is $\min\{l-1, l-|v|+1\}$ -connected. Let $x = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k))$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_x = \{v \in F : x \in X_v\} \simeq \mathcal{IU}(R^{2n})_{(x_1, \dots, x_k)}$. By the above theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{A}_x$ is $\lfloor \frac{n-\text{usr}(R)-k-3}{2} \rfloor$ -connected. But $l-|x|+1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n-\text{usr}(R)-k-3}{2} \rfloor$, so $\mathcal{A}_x$ is $(l-|x|+1)$ -connected. Let $v = (v_1) \in F$, $|v| = 1$, and let $D_v := \mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})_{(v_1, w_1)} \simeq \mathcal{HU}(R^{2(n-1)})$ where $w_1 \in R^{2n}$ is a hyperbolic dual of $v_1 \in R^{2n}$. Then $D_v \subseteq X_v$ and D_v is contained in a cone, call it C_v , inside $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})$. Take $C(D_v) := D_v \cup (C_v)_{\leq n-\text{usr}(R)-1}$. By induction D_v is $\lfloor \frac{n-1-\text{usr}(R)-4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected and so $(l-1)$ -connected. Let $Y_v = X_v \cup C(D_v)$. By the Mayer-Vietoris theorem and the fact that $C(D_v)$ is l -connected, we get the exact sequence

$$\tilde{H}_l(D_v, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{(i_v)_*} \tilde{H}_l(X_v, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_l(Y_v, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

where $i_v : D_v \rightarrow X_v$ is the inclusion. By induction $(D_v)_w$ is $\lfloor \frac{n-1-\text{usr}(R)-|w|-4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected and so $(l-|w|)$ -connected, for $w \in D_v$. By lemma [3, 4.1(i)] and lemma 3.2, $(i_v)_*$ is an isomorphism, and by exactness of the above sequence we get $\tilde{H}_l(Y_v, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. If $l \geq 1$ by the Van Kampen theorem $\pi_1(Y_v, x) \simeq \pi_1(X_v, x)/N$ where $x \in D_v$ and N is the normal subgroup generated by the image of the map $(i_v)_* : \pi_1(D_v, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_v, x)$. Now by lemma [3, 4.1(ii)], $\pi_1(Y_v, x)$ is trivial. Thus by the Hurewicz theorem [3, 2.1], Y_v is l -connected. By having all this we can apply theorem [3, 4.7] and so X is l -connected. The fact that $\mathcal{HU}(R^{2n})_x$ is $\lfloor \frac{n-\text{usr}(R)-|x|-4}{2} \rfloor$ -connected follows from the above and lemma 3.2. $\square$

4. HOMOLOGY STABILITY

From theorem 3.4 one can get the homology stability of unitary groups as Charney proved in [1, Sec. 4]. Here we only formulate the theorem and for the proof we refer to Charney's paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a ring with $\text{usr}(R) < \infty$. Then for every abelian group L the homomorphism $\psi_{n*} : H_i(U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda), L) \rightarrow H_i(U_{2n+2}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda), L)$ is surjective for $n \geq 2i + \text{usr}(R) + 3$ and bijective for $n \geq 2i + \text{usr}(R) + 4$,*

where $\psi_n : U_{2n}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda) \rightarrow U_{2n+2}^\epsilon(R, \Lambda)$, $A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. See [1, Sec. 4]. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Charney, R. A generalization of a theorem of Vogtmann. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **44** (1987), 107–125.
- [2] Knus, M. A. Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, 294. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [3] Mirzaii, B.; Van der Kallen, W. Homology stability for symplectic groups. [arXiv:math.KT/0110163](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.KT/0110163)
- [4] Van der Kallen, W. Homology stability for linear groups. *Invent. Math.* **60** (1980), 269–295.
- [5] Vaserstein, L. N. Stabilization of unitary and orthogonal groups over a ring with involution. *Math. USSR Sbornik* **10** (1970), no. 3, 307–326.

e-mail: `mirzaii@math.uu.nl` `vdkallen@math.uu.nl`